

THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR.,  
SBN 132099  
tboutrous@gibsondunn.com  
RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666  
rdoren@gibsondunn.com  
DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556  
dswanson@gibsondunn.com  
JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471  
jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
Facsimile: 213.229.7520

CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.  
492089; *pro hac vice*)  
crichman@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.955.8500  
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

RACHEL S. BRASS, SBN 219301  
rbrass@gibsondunn.com  
JULIAN W. KLEINBRODT, SBN 302085  
jkleinbrodt@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: 415.393.8200  
Facsimile: 415.393.8306

Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.

MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532  
mark.perry@weil.com  
JOSHUA M. WESNESKI (D.C. Bar No.  
1500231; *pro hac vice pending*)  
joshua.wesneski@weil.com  
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
2001 M Street NW, Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.682.7000  
Facsimile: 202.857.0940

MORGAN D. MACBRIDE, SBN 301248  
morgan.macbride@weil.com  
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
Redwood Shores Pkwy, 4th Floor  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
Telephone: 650.802.3044  
Facsimile: 650.802.3100

MARK I. PINKERT (Fla. Bar No. 1003102; *pro  
hac vice pending*)  
mark.pinkert@weil.com  
KATHERINE G. BLACK (Fla. Bar No.  
1031465; *pro hac vice pending*)  
katie.black@weil.com  
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
1395 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1200  
Miami, FL 33131  
Telephone: 305.577.3100  
Facsimile: 305.374.7159

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**OAKLAND DIVISION**

EPIC GAMES, INC.

Plaintiff, Counter-defendant  
v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant, Counterclaimant

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR

**DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN  
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL**

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Category 1: Apple’s Request As To Information That Reflects Its Competitively Sensitive Internal Billing Policies, Processes, And Systems .....	2
Category 2: Apple’s Request As To Information That Reflects Its Negotiations With Its Vendors .....	6
Category 3: Apple’s Request As To Information That Reflects Apple’s Financial Information Relating To Costs Expended By Apple In The Epic Litigation .....	8

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Avago Techs. U.S. Inc., et al v. Iptronics Inc., et al.</i> , No. 10-02863-EJD, Dkt. 544 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2015).....	1
<i>Kamakana v. City &amp; Cty. Of Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006) .....	1
<i>Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 307 F.3d 1206 (9th Cir. 2002) .....	2
<i>In re Qualcomm Litig.</i> , No. 17-00108, Dkt. 398-1 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2018) .....	1
<i>VCisco Sys., Inc., et al. v. Opentv Inc., et al.</i> , No. 13-00282-EJD, Dkt. 76 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 8, 2018) .....	1

1 I, Mark A. Perry, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California, and a member of the Bar  
3 of this Court. I am a partner at the law firm Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, counsel of record for  
4 Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) in this case. I am familiar with Apple’s treatment of highly proprietary  
5 and confidential information, based on my personal experience representing Apple.<sup>1</sup> I have personal  
6 knowledge of the facts stated below and, if called as a witness, would testify competently thereto. I  
7 submit this declaration in support of Apple’s Administrative Motion to Seal its Motion for Entry of  
8 Judgment on its Indemnification Counterclaim and Concurrently Filed Declarations and Exhibits (the  
9 “Motion”).

10 2. A party seeking to seal information must exhibit “compelling reasons” to overcome the  
11 “strong presumption in favor of access.” *Kamakana v. City & Cty. Of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178  
12 (9th Cir. 2006) (quotation marks omitted). These “compelling reasons” must be “supported by specific  
13 factual findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosures.”  
14 *Id.* at 1178–79 (citation and quotation marks omitted). “In general, ‘compelling reasons’ sufficient to  
15 outweigh the public’s interest in disclosure and justify sealing court records exist when such ‘court files  
16 might have become a vehicle for improper purposes,’ such as the use of records to gratify private spite,  
17 promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets.” *Id.* at 1179 (citing *Nixon*  
18 *v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)).

19 3. Apple and the vendors with which it worked on the *Epic* litigation operate in intensely  
20 competitive marketplaces. Apple has serious and legitimate concerns that competitors will be quick to  
21 capitalize on any release of Apple’s, or its vendors’, highly sensitive information in order to gain  
22 competitive advantage. As such, Apple takes extensive measures to protect the confidentiality of its  
23 information, and information regarding its vendors.

24  
25 <sup>1</sup> Courts in this District and in the Ninth Circuit routinely grant motions to seal on the basis of declarations  
26 of counsel. *See, e.g., in re Qualcomm Litig.*, No. 17-00108, Dkt. 398-1 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2018); *Avago*  
27 *Techs. U.S. Inc., et al v. Iptronics Inc., et al.*, No. 10-02863-EJD, Dkt. 544 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2015);  
28 *VCisco Sys., Inc., et al. v. Opentv Inc., et al.*, No. 13-00282-EJD, Dkt. 76 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 8, 2018). I am  
personally familiar with Apple’s safeguarding of proprietary information, but if the Court deems this  
declaration insufficient, Apple respectfully requests that it be permitted to file a further declaration  
supporting filing under seal.

1           4.       The Court has “broad latitude” “to prevent disclosures of materials for many types of  
2 information, including, *but not limited to*, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or  
3 confidential information.” *Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (emphasis  
4 in original).

5           5.       Apple has carefully reviewed its Motion for Entry of Judgment on its Indemnification  
6 Counterclaim (the “Indemnification Motion”); the declaration of Carlyn Irwin (the “Irwin Declaration”);  
7 the declaration of Mark Rollins (the “Rollins Declaration”); and the declaration of Richard M. Pearl (the  
8 “Pearl Declaration”); and the exhibits filled concurrently with the three declarations, and now proposes  
9 only those redactions and requests to fully seal documents that are essential.

10          6.       Specifically, Apple seeks to seal information from only three categories of confidential  
11 information: (1) competitively sensitive, non-public billing policy information revealing Apple’s internal  
12 processes and systems for conducting litigation and managing vendor billing; (2) competitively sensitive,  
13 non-public information that reflects the payments made to and negotiations with each of Apple’s vendors  
14 during the *Epic* matter; and/or (3) competitively sensitive, non-public financial information regarding  
15 Apple’s aggregate costs for the *Epic* litigation.

16       **Category 1: Apple’s Request as to Information that Reflects its Competitively Sensitive Internal**  
17       **Billing Policies, Processes, and Systems**

18          7.       Apple first seeks to seal information regarding its confidential, internal billing policies,  
19 which reflect Apple’s strategy and systems for conducting litigation and managing its vendors. Apple  
20 has narrowly tailored its sealing request so as to maximize the public’s access to court documents without  
21 jeopardizing Apple’s business interests.

22          8.       Public disclosure of this information, which Apple intended to keep confidential, could  
23 put Apple at a competitive disadvantage and thus cause economic harm, because it would reveal Apple’s  
24 proprietary inner billing processes and how it works with its vendors.

25          9.       Below is a chart detailing the specific portions of the Indemnification Motion and  
26 concurrently filed declarations and exhibits that are sealable under this category for the reasons explained  
27 herein, as well as in the Motion.

Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed	Document Title	Reason to Seal
21:24–22:14	Apple Inc.’s Motion For Entry Of Judgment On Its Indemnification Counterclaim (“Motion for Entry of Judgment”)	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
26:11–14	Motion for Entry of Judgment	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
26:20–22	Motion for Entry of Judgment	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
27:3–6	Motion for Entry of Judgment	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
1:23–3:15	Declaration of Mark Rollins in Support of Apple Inc.’s Motion for Entry of Judgment on its Indemnification Counterclaim (“Rollins Declaration”)	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
3:17–18	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
3:20–4:18	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
4:21–26	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
4:28–5:3	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
5:9–13	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
5:17	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing

		policies and procedures.
5:26	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
6:10	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
6:14–16	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
6:19–21	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
6:24–7:1	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
7:4–6	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
7:9–11	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
7:15–16	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
7:20–21	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
7:24–26	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
8:9	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
8:11–13	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing

		policies and procedures.
8:16–18	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
8:21–23	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
8:26–28	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
9:4–5	Rollins Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
4:17	Declaration of Carlyn Irwin in Support of Apple Inc.’s Motion for Entry of Judgment on its Indemnification Counterclaim (“Irwin Declaration”)	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
6:13–14	Irwin Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
7:14	Irwin Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
7:25–8:3	Irwin Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
8:10	Irwin Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
8:13–16	Irwin Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
8:25–27	Irwin Declaration	Reflects information regarding Apple’s confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
Exhibit B, Footnotes 1–8	Irwin Declaration, Exhibit B	Reflects information



		regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
Exhibit C, Footnotes 1–8	Irwin Declaration, Exhibit C	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
Exhibit E	Irwin Declaration, Exhibit E	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
15:21	Declaration of Richard M. Pearl in Support of Apple Inc.'s Motion for Entry of Judgment on its Indemnification Counterclaim ("Pearl Declaration")	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.
Exhibit A, final two bullets in "Other" section	Pearl Declaration, Exhibit A	Reflects information regarding Apple's confidential, internal billing policies and procedures.

**Category 2: Apple's Request as to Information that Reflects its Negotiations With its Vendors**

10. Second, Apple respectfully requests that the Court seal the identified information that would create a substantial risk of serious financial or other injury to Apple and its vendors, and to their respective relationships with other vendors or clients. Accordingly, Apple seeks to seal specific information that reflects payments to and negotiations with Apple's vendors that worked on the *Epic* litigation.

11. Disclosure of the identified information would risk competitors or others gaining an unfair advantage by using this information to influence negotiations or otherwise affect competition against Apple and its vendors. Further, this is information that Apple would keep confidential in the ordinary course of business, both for its benefit and that of its vendors.

12. Below is a chart detailing the specific portions of the Indemnification Motion and concurrently filed declarations and exhibits that are sealable under this category for the reasons explained herein, as well as in the Motion.

<b>Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed</b>	<b>Document Title</b>	<b>Reason to Seal</b>
3:3–4	Irwin Declaration	Reflects confidential rate information that is the subject of negotiations between Cornerstone Research and Apple.
Exhibit B, “Amount Billed (USD),” “Write Off Amount (USD),” “Net Total Amount Paid (USD),” and “Adjusted Total (USD)” Columns	Irwin Declaration, Exhibit B	Reflects confidential rate and other non-public information that is the subject of negotiations between Apple and its vendors.
Exhibit C, “Amount Billed (USD),” “Write Off Amount (USD),” “Net Total Amount Paid (USD),” and “Adjusted Total (USD)” Columns	Irwin Declaration, Exhibit C	Reflects confidential rate and other non-public information that is the subject of negotiations between Apple and its vendors.
7:8–10	Pearl Declaration	Reflects confidential rate information that is the subject of negotiations between Apple and its vendors.
7:12	Pearl Declaration	Reflects confidential rate information that is the subject of negotiations between Apple and its vendors.
8:9	Pearl Declaration	Reflects confidential rate information that is the subject of negotiations between Apple and its vendors.
8:22	Pearl Declaration	Reflects confidential rate information that is the subject of negotiations between Apple and Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, and Apple and Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP.
9:2–3	Pearl Declaration	Reflects confidential rate information that is the subject of negotiations between Apple and Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, and Apple and Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP.
9:7	Pearl Declaration	Reflects confidential rate information that is the subject of negotiations between Apple and Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP.

**Category 3: Apple's Request as to Information that Reflects Apple's Financial Information Relating to Costs Expended by Apple in the *Epic* Litigation**

13. Third, Apple seeks to seal competitively sensitive, non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for the *Epic* litigation, which, if disclosed, would provide competitors with an unfair advantage against Apple. Apple has narrowly tailored its sealing request so as to maximize the public's access to court documents without jeopardizing Apple's business interests, or that of its vendors.

14. Below is a chart detailing the specific portions of the Indemnification Motion and concurrently filed declarations and exhibits that are sealable under this category for the reasons explained herein, as well as in the Motion.

Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed	Document Title	Reason to Seal
Chart, 15:11–18	Motion for Entry of Judgment	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for the principal categories of its litigation expenses for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
6:9	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for law firms for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
6:17	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
6:21	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Latham & Watkins LLP for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
7:2	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for McDermott Will & Emery for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
7:7	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for O'Melveny & Myers LLP for

		the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
7:12	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
7:17	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP.
7:22	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
7:27	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
8:8	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for its vendors for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
8:14	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Consilio Holdings for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
8:19	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Open Text Inc. for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
8:24	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Compass Lexecon for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
9:1	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for Cornerstone Research for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
Chart, 9:8–21	Rollins Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for its litigation expenses in the <i>Epic</i>

		litigation.
4:2	Irwin Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for its litigation expenses in the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
5:1–2	Irwin Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for law firms for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
Table 1, 5:4–17	Irwin Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for law firms for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
5:21–28	Irwin Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for its litigation expenses for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
8:27	Irwin Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's write-offs for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
Table 2, 9:9–14	Irwin Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for the principal categories of its litigation expenses for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
15:8	Pearl Declaration	Reflects non-public information regarding the number of hours Apple's law firms spent on the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
16:2	Pearl Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's write-offs and billing adjustments for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.
20:5	Pearl Declaration	Reflects non-public financial information regarding Apple's aggregate costs for its litigation expenses for the <i>Epic</i> litigation.

1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and  
2 correct. Executed this 16th day of January 2024, in Washington, D.C.

3  
4 Dated: January 16, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

5  
6 By: /s/ Mark A. Perry

7 Mark A. Perry  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28